CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES

BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

FACILITIES SERVICES CENTER, ROOM 466 1180 MILITARY TRIBUTE PLACE, HENDERSON, NV 89074

THURSDAY, AUGUST 20, 2020

11:30 a.m.

Members Absent

Charlton, Patricia-Excused

Lazaroff, Gene-Excused

Members Present

Blackman-Taylor, Jeana

Earl, Debbie Goynes, Byron

Gurdison, Robert Jones, Walter

Konrad, Chad

Lehman-Donadio, Nicole

Lopez, Alfonso

Williams, Yvette

A recording of this meeting can be obtained by contacting the Facilities Unit at 702-799-0591.

1.1 ROLL CALL.

Mr. Byron Goynes, Chair, called the meeting to order at 11:35 a.m.

1.2 ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA.

Motion was approved to adopt and accept the August 20, 2020 agenda.

Motion: Williams Second: Earl Vote: Unanimous

2.01 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON AGENDA ITEMS.

None.

3.01 APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES.

> Ms. Blackman-Taylor: I would like to note that on the minutes of July 16, 2020 Mr. Konrad was listed as absent but he was actually present.

Motion to approve the July 16, 2020 minutes with the correction regarding Mr. Konrad. Motion: Blackman-Taylor Second: Williams Vote: Unanimous

3.02 REPORTS BY STAFF AND/OR LIAISON REPRESENTATIVES.

> Ms. Blackman-Taylor: Regarding Community Government Relations I had a chance to meet with Brad Keating. The main thing we talked about was that there is going to be a request to extend the bond that is up in 2025. We discussed projects being on time, projects completed and how that can fit into public communications in order to extend that bond. We are trying to expand public awareness on extending that bond.

Ms. Williams: Is that a legislative agenda item for this upcoming session?

3.02 REPORTS BY STAFF AND/OR LIAISON REPRESENTATIVES. (cont.)

Mr. Foutz: It would more than likely be on this legislative session since the bond ends in 2025.

Mr. Goynes: Next we will go to Construction with Ms. Debbie Earl and Al Lopez.

Ms. Earl: I spoke with Jeff Wagner and Justin Lam. There was a concern about something we have on the Motions and Taskings regarding the number of minority contractors CCSD has used and cost of projects and programs used to reach out to minority owned businesses. They are going to come in October with a report on that. I want to clarify that Construction Management is not responsible for procurement of contracts and the general contracts. That is Contracts Procurement and Compliance and they will have a representative there. That department invites all qualified bidders to bid and when they do pre-qualification they ask the status of if they are a minority owned business, a woman run business, or a disadvantaged business but Jeff and Justin are going to scour the documents to give us some kind of indication of how many minority, women, disadvantaged construction businesses are going through that prequalification process.

Ms. Williams: Are the construction companies providing CCSD a report as they complete the jobs on work force diversity?

Mr. Wagner: I will verify that with Contracts Procurement and Compliance and I will ask the director if that report is being submitted. Justin or I will reach out to you before the next meeting.

Ms. Williams: When you give us their report in October I would like to request a status report on the contractors we are using regarding what their reports look like for CCSD projects. If you have time to go through that, that is information that I would like to see.

Mr. Wagner: I'll be happy to make that request of our contractors.

Mr. Konrad: Is the data available but we don't have a system in place to accumulate and track the data or do we just not have the data?

Mr. Wagner: There is data that we do track. We do not track the entirely of the data that has been asked for. Specifically information related to sub-contractors because we only deal directly with the contractors. We currently have 105 pre-qualified general contractors and of those 20 have indicated that they hold some sort of disadvantaged business certification. We do not have readily available to us the individual contractors and the diversity of the individual contractor's workforce and that was something that was requested. We want to be transparent in that we may not be able to get all of the data that has been requested. We are working with Contracts, Procurement and Compliance to gather the available data and we will bring that forward in our report in October. We will also have a representative from that department available to answer questions that are more involved. I can bring forward data on how many bids we've had and how many contractors have bid on jobs.

Mr. Jones: Regarding the Site Selection and Land Use I have no updates.

Mr. Goynes: I don't see Mr. Gurdison on to report on Design. Justin Lam have you had a chance to connect?

- 3.02 REPORTS BY STAFF AND/OR LIAISON REPRESENTATIVES. (cont.)

 Mr. Lam: We have not had a chance to connect but I'm hoping that we will get a little more time together before the next meeting.
- 3.03 REPORT BY THE CLARK COUNTY BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES' LIAISON.

 Trustee Wright: I do not have an update. If there are specific questions that the committee has or information they want me to find out for you to bring back next month or work with staff to get answers emailed to everyone.

Ms. Williams: Are you aware if the Board of School Trustees (BOST) have approved any project labor agreements on any CCSD project?

Trustee Wright: I can't tell you off the top of my head. I will have to research and get back to you.

Ms. Williams: We have had questions around apprenticeship programs for some of our high school students who are taking CTE courses in the building trades where they are actually ready when they graduate to get a job as an apprentice. Is this something new to us or something we've done in the past? After we get this report I'd like to have more discussion with the committee regarding what we can do to better serve our students through dollars spent on our projects.

Trustee Wright: I will work with Mr. Wagner and do some research and I will report back at the next BOC meeting.

3.04 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) PROJECT UPDATES.

Mr. Wagner: I'm going to share this presentation outlining current projects, major projects delivered in 2020, site funded projects, and major projects plans for 2021. There will be a short video afterwards. The total number of the current projects is 420. Major projects delivered in 2020 include additions to Bryan Elementary School (ES), Moore ES, Lamping ES, Cortez ES, Decker ES, Alamo ES, and McWilliams ES. The new school delivered is Tyrone Thompson ES. Replacement schools are Mackey iLead Academy, SECTA Phase II, J.D.Smith Middle School (MS), Edwards ES, and Wasden ES.

Site Funded Projects became part of our department in November 2019. Since then we have 241 active projects, 339 projects closed, 111 construction management completed, 43 lock shop completed, 9 telecom completed and 176 cancelled by schools.

Major projects planned for delivery in 2021 include new schools at Mountains Edge and Buffalo (MS) and one at Chapata and Cassidy Hollow ES. Replacements include Harris ES, Tate ES, Ferron ES, Hoggard ES, and Sandy Valley ES.

Ms. Blackman-Taylor: Can we be notified when these presentation are uploaded to YouTube?

Mr. Wagner: Yes, I will ask Damon Hunter to reach out to all of you before information gets posted online.

Ms. Williams: Was Mabel Hoggard ES a full replacement? Will it all be new with the exception of the administrative building and the multi-purpose room?

Mr. Wagner: It's similar to the replacement we did at Twin Lakes ES earlier this year. I will be happy to have Justin Lam share the plans with you.

3.04 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) PROJECT UPDATES. (cont.)

Ms. Blackman-Taylor: I am surprised at how many site-funded jobs there are. Can you explain to me how site-funded projects are accepted in terms of time needed, that are covered by the bond?

Mr. Wagner: We have dedicated staff that works on nothing but site-funded projects. Those projects can range in very, very small projects like painting a room to larger projects like the addition of a wrestling room. For projects under \$25,000.00 the Regional Superintendent has the authority to approve.

The school will contact my staff and say that they are interested in doing a site-funded project, my staff will go out and scope the project, obtain bids for that scope of work, discuss it with the principal, ensure that it has been reviewed by our Building Department and any other departments it may impact such as food service or technology. Once all that due diligence has been done and the principal has said yes, understands the total cost, and wants to move forward with their project it will be sent forward to the director of Construction Management for review. It would then be sent on to the Chief of Facilities and I would review that in my capacity as the acting Chief. It then goes to the Regional Superintendent and they would okay it for the expenditure of funds.

In the case that the project exceeds \$25,000.00, that project would have to be brought in front of Cabinet for review and approval.

Yes, it is a high volume of projects. I'll attribute that to two things. We had a fairly inefficient system prior to Construction Management taking it over and there was a big back log of projects. We were able to unclog that log jam and determine if those projects would move forward or not. The second thing I would attribute the volume of projects to is the success that we've had in getting the projects done. More schools now are looking at site-funded projects that are unique to them because the process has been streamlined and they can get through it quickly and get a good result. I anticipate that we will continue to have a volume of projects moving forward. It does not take away from the effort that is being put towards the bond as it's completely separate staff and funded as separate staff.

3.05 QUESTIONS ON AND/OR REMOVAL OF ITEMS ON MOTIONS AND TASKINGS.

Mr. Goynes: Mr. Wagner has provided me with new dates for the Motions and Taskings on several of the agenda subject items that are on there.

Mr. Wagner: I will be happy to run down the dates for each presentation. This will help us with future agenda planning and everyone will know when this information will be brought forward.

Mr. Goynes: Let's email that out to all of the members so they will have that. Let's not go through them right here.

- 3.06 FUTURE AGENDA PLANNING. None.
- 4.01 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS. None.
- 5.00 ADJOURN.

Motion to adjourn meeting. Motion: Earl

Motion: Earl Second: Blackman-Taylor Vote: Unanimous

Meeting adjourned at 12:35 p.m.