

MINUTES
CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES
BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER, ROOM 466
5100 W. SAHARA AVE., LAS VEGAS, NV 89146

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2015

11:41 a.m.

Members Present

Bowler, Richard	Philpott, Steve
Bruins, David	Reynolds, Jacob
Halsey, Jim	Eva White
Kubat, Charles	
Lavelle, Lisa	
Lazaroff, Gene	

Members Absent

Davis, Al
Earl, Debbie
Hawkins, Frank
Lopez, George

A recording of this meeting can be obtained by contacting the Capital Program Office at 799-8710.

1.01 FLAG SALUTE.

1.02 ROLL CALL.

Jim Halsey, Chair, called the meeting to order at 11:41 a.m., and introduced and welcomed the newest member to the committee, Eva White.

1.03 ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA.

Motion was approved to adopt and accept the October 15, 2015, agenda.

Motion: Reynolds

Second: Bruins

Vote: Unanimous

2.01 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD.

Jodi Thornley, 1st Speaker – Ms. Thornley introduced herself as a parent of four CCSD students. She addressed her concerns for the Virgin Valley gymnasium and stated she researched the gym at Moapa Valley and found out that KGA designed their high school and requested they provide them with schematics as to where a gym would fit on their school property at no cost to them; it was provided to them by KGA. Ms. Thornley stated she had inquired with the Moapa Valley community regarding issues they would like resolved at Virgin Valley by having a new gym, and it was confirmed that it does make a difference for parents, students, and the entire community.

Jim Halsey clarified to Ms. Thornley that in the Public Comment section, it states that the Committee is unable to deliberate or take action on the items raised during the Public Comment Period.

2.01 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD. (continued)

Nancy Phelps, 2nd Speaker – Ms. Phelps addressed the Committee regarding their Virgin Valley gym and how small it is and would like Virgin Valley to have a new gym. She stated that their school no longer participates in tournaments. She said the athletic trainers don't have space to help the athletes. Ms. Phelps stated that all the sport teams have to take turns using the gym and ending up late at night because of it. She said there's no space dedicated for drill teams and cheer leading activities.

Cliff Hughes, Principal, Virgin Valley, 3rd Speaker – Mr. Hughes introduced himself as the Principal of Virgin Valley High School and stated that all the things that this committee has heard from all the previous speakers that have addressed their needs for a new gym — they live it. He stated the concerns such as dealing with the parents, students, and the community issues regarding not enough space in their gym to accommodate all the sport activities are true, and they have lived with for a lot of years. He spoke about the liabilities, the students walking to City facilities, and how the City has been great with them. But now, the community is growing and the students are being sent away because there's no room for them. Mr. Hughes stated he hopes it's not too late for this committee to get this item looked at, and appreciated this committee's time and consideration.

3.01 APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES.

Motion for approval of the Minutes for the September 17, 2015, agenda.

Motion: Philpott

Second: Lavelle

Vote: Unanimous

3.02 REPORTS BY STAFF AND/OR LIAISON REPRESENTATIVES. None.

3.03 QUESTIONS REGARDING MONTHLY STATUS REPORTS. Handout reviewed with no questions.

3.04 REPORT BY THE CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES' LIAISON.

Trustee Young reported on the reorganization of the Clark County School District with respect to the responses to the AB394, but stated that this is still "work-in-progress" and said it is up for discussion at this point. She stated she had attended a meeting at the Grant Sawyer Building on October 12, 2015, with Mr. Pat Skorkowski and Mr. Jim McIntosh as speakers and presenters, that gave an overview or a proposal as a response to AB394. In summary, the proposal addressed the reorganization of the District and to divide it into precincts. The precincts are the boundaries of the current seven school board members. Trustee Young stated she is in District C with 60 schools in her area. She said that each precinct would include one superintendent, an assistant, and an advisory committee. The funding would be allocated to each precinct for each to make the determination of what would be needed with support to programs and services.

Trustee Young also discussed the Bond rating and Bond/Financial issues for the entire School District. She commented that Mr. McIntosh did a wonderful job of making it almost clear and explaining this information.

3.04 REPORT BY THE CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES' LIAISON. (continued)

Trustee Young continued discussion on the status of the reorganization of the District and she stated she is on the Technical Advisory Committee and was appointed by the Board. She said the committee has about 12-15 members and they're responsible to look at the technical aspects of breaking up the District including providing input and what that would mean. Trustee Young stated that the dates for these meetings have not yet be provided, but will be published and advertised when they are scheduled. She did invite all to attend these informational meetings once they are scheduled, if their schedule permits.

Trustee Young did want to go on record that she is not in favor and is not supportive of breaking up the District.

Trustee Young commented that Lincoln Elementary School is one of her schools and she stated that she is so happy that this school is being replaced.

3.05 2015 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN, REPORT ON BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES' ACTION.

Mr. Blake Cumbers presented an eight-slide presentation on the 2015 Capital Improvement Program (CIP), Guiding Principles and Spending Allocation Update. Mr. Cumbers discussed in detail the different options selected by the BOC and the Board of School Trustees (BOST) and explained that they are two different options. He stated that the BOST did approve **Option B** with one revision at the BOST meeting on September 24, 2015; this option added **two high schools** and **eliminated six elementary schools**. Mr. Cumbers continued with his slide presentation as follows:

- 2015 Capital Improvement Program – Options for Programming Available Funds Update
 1. BOC recommended **Option C** with one revision on September 17, 2015
 2. Revision is to **ADD** one high school and eliminate three elementary schools
 3. Revision is named **Option C-BOC**
- **OPTION "C-BOC"**
 - a. New Schools/Capacity (**dollars shown in millions**)
 - \$1,165 – Provide 65 percent of projected new ES needs
 - Provide 33 percent of projected new HS needs
 - b. Additions for Capacity
 - \$325 – Provide permanent seats at 54 currently overcrowded ESs, providing the equivalent seats of 15 ESs
 - c. Replacement Schools
 - \$580 – Replace all schools currently meeting criteria of District Regulation 7112
 - d. Modernization/Life
 - \$1,580 – Provide 33 percent of projected need
 - e. Technology and Major Equipment Replacement
 - \$450 – Provide funding for equipment needs at all schools supporting regular upgrades

3.05 2015 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN, REPORT ON BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES' ACTION. (continued)

- f. Bus Satellites
 - \$ 0 – Provide no funding for additional transportation satellite or upgrades to current facilities
 - **TOTAL \$4,100 Shared allocation of Resources**
- 2015 Capital Improvement Program – Options for Programming Available Funds Update
 1. The Board of School Trustees (BOST) approved **Option B** with one revision at the BOST meeting on September 24, 2015
 2. Revision is to **ADD two high schools** and **ELIMINATE six elementary schools**
 3. Revision is named **OPTION B-BOT**
- **OPTION B-BOT**
 - a. New Schools/Capacity (*dollars shown in millions*)
 - \$1,680 – Provide 57 percent of projected new ES needs by building 35 new ESs
Do not provide MSs
Provide 67 percent of projected new HS needs and develop alternatives for solving overcrowding in schools
 - b. Additions for Capacity
 - \$325 – Provide 25 percent of the projected new ES needs by providing permanent seats at 54 currently overcrowded ESs, providing the equivalent seats of 15 new ESs
 - c. Replacement Schools
 - \$580 – Replace all schools currently meeting criteria of District Regulation 7112
 - d. Modernization/Life Cycle/Equity
 - \$1,065 – Provide 23 percent of projected need
 - e. Technology and Major Equipment Replacement
 - \$450 – Provide funding for equipment needs at all schools supporting regular upgrades
 - f. Bus Satellites
 - \$ 0 – Provide no funding for additional transportation satellite or upgrades to current facilities
 - **TOTAL \$4,100 Focus on Growth in Elementary and High Schools**
- Questions

Mr. Kubat asked staff if they knew when the expectation is to bring forward to this committee a masterplan of ideas, and Mr. Cumbers responded that it would be in January 2016.

Mr. Lazaroff stated that at the last meeting, there was discussion of the possibility of formalizing Assumptions and asked if staff had done so.

Mr. McIntosh responded that staff had not formalized the Assumptions but had verbally spoken to the Board, and will be bringing forward to this committee. Mr. Cumbers also responded and referred to slides on pages 3 & 6, and said these slides indicate what the assumptions are based on allocation of funds and what can be done with those resources and what kind of trade-offs are being made.

3.05 2015 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN, REPORT ON BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES' ACTION. (continued)

Mr. Lazaroff clarified his question was addressing the revenue stream. Mr. McIntosh responded that he would bring back to this committee the information that was provided to the Bond Oversight Panel for School Facilities at a recent meeting regarding the Revenue Update on the structure of the program, and also include the Growth Assumptions based on enrollments – recently at 1.25 percent growth which is how the capacity is figured.

Mr. Philpott addressed the State Mandated Testing for our current high schools and stated he had spoken to one of the high school principals who had expressed a need to be able to have the modernization in technology for on-line testing at his high school. Mr. Philpott suggested the District address this issue immediately, first, so that the needs are addressed now, and not 10 years from now.

Mr. McIntosh responded that the District is working closely with the Networking Services Department to come up with a plan to assess the needs for each school in order to allow on-line testing. Mr. McIntosh said that the Technology Department has put together a list in the order in which needs are to be prioritized.

3.06 SITE ACQUISITION, APPROXIMATE FOURTEEN-ACRE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SITE.

Mr. Cumbers presented the Bond Oversight Committee with an eight-page slide presentation on the 2015 Capital Improvement Program, Site Acquisition Update and discussed and explained it in great detail on the acquisition of approximately 14.0-acre parcel of real property located on the southwest corner of Maule Avenue and Grand Canyon Drive within Summerlin Village 16A, for \$348,250.00 plus half of the closing costs. He started off by stating he will be explaining via the presentation why we need this site and the characteristics of the site. He explained this site is in proximity of Rogers, Batterman, and Tenaka Elementary Schools that are currently in dire need of relief due to overcrowding. The following statistics explains the capacity of each school and how overcrowded it is:

<u>ES School</u>	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Current Enrollment</u>	<u>Expected Growth</u>	<u>Portables</u>
Tenaka ES	726	974	199 (Total – 1,173)	18
Batterman	726	979	201 (Total – 1,180)	16
Rogers	726	785	844 (Total – 1,629)	8

Mr. Cumbers clarified that this school is designed from the Duncan Prototype two-story design.

Mr. Kubat commented to the committee about the process of projections that was developed by staff in the past, and that was, rather than only counting final maps, there was a recognition in the high-growth timeframe to also take into account some preliminary maps, especially in the master-planned communities, because it was almost like a final map.

Mr. Lazaroff commented that he had previously asked in one of our meetings regarding naming rights for a school or facility in exchange for property or land and recalled that this committee had been told that there is a process for the Naming Committee for naming schools and/or facilities.

3.06 SITE ACQUISITION, APPROXIMATE FOURTEEN-ACRE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SITE.
(continued)

Mr. Lazaroff asked if it's still an option for the District to trade-off property or a piece of land in exchange for naming rights.

Mr. McIntosh responded that to his knowledge the District has never requested naming a school or facility for somebody, based on providing property or a piece of land for free. But he did say that it is certainly something staff can look into and bring back to this committee.

3.07 QUESTIONS ON AND/OR REMOVAL OF ITEMS ON MOTIONS AND TASKINGS.

A motion was made by Ms. Lavelle to remove the *Capital Improvement Program Reports* item from the Motions and Taskings, page 1 of 3.

Motion: Lavelle

Second: Reynolds

Vote: Unanimous

3.08 AGENDA PLANNING: ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS.

A motion was made by Mr. Bruins for a presentation on what contingency plans the District has in place for emergency maintenance, and how District staff can ensure good choices are made on capital investments such as chillers and HVAC units in terms of product specifications to avoid required performance, longevity, and maintenance costs.

Motion: Bruins

Second: Reynolds

Vote: Unanimous

A motion was made by Mr. Kubat for an explanation of the current projections process that creates the Hot Spot Analysis

Motion: Kubat

Second: Bruins

Vote: Unanimous

A motion was made by Mr. Philpott to bring back to this committee how the Clark County School District will address the concerns of parents from Virgin Valley on the safety of their children, along with the town of Mesquite, and maybe Clark County – so we can give some priority to their needs and answer some of their questions.

Mr. Reynolds added to this motion that he would like to have invitations extended to other City officials, other people, and other organizations with economic interests that would benefit.

Motion: Philpott

Second: Lavelle

Vote: Unanimous

4.0 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

4.01 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD.

Nancy Phelps, parent, spoke again on getting a new gym for their Virgin Valley High School in Mesquite. She said she feels this would be the biggest bang for their buck; that this would be huge and transform their community. She said this would definitely benefit their children in many ways.

5.0 ADJOURN: 1:07 p.m.

Motion: Lazaroff

Second: Kubat

Vote: Unanimous