

MINUTES
CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES
BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
REX BELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
2900 WILMINGTON WAY, LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

THURSDAY, AUGUST 20, 2015

11:30 A.M.

Members Present

Bowler, Richard	Lazaroff, Gene
Davis, Al	Lopez, George
Earl, Debbie	Philpott, Steve
Haldeman, Joyce	Reynolds, Jacob
Halsey, Jim	Tate, Cameron
Kubat, Charles	
Lavelle, Eleissa	

Members Absent

Bruins, Richard
Hawkins, Frank

A recording of this meeting can be obtained by contacting the Capital Program Office at 799-8710.

1.01 FLAG SALUTE.

1.02 ROLL CALL.

Jim Halsey, Chair, called the meeting to order at 11:33 a.m.

1.03 ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA.

Motion was approved to adopt and accept the August 20, 2015, agenda.

Motion: Lazaroff

Second: Reynolds

Vote: Unanimous

2.01 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD. None

3.01 APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES.

Motion for approval of the Minutes for the July 16, 2015, agenda.

Motion: Reynolds

Second: Tate

Vote: Unanimous

3.02 LEGISLATIVE UPDATE.

Joyce Haldeman had no updates. She stated this was her last BOC meeting she would be attending due to her resignation from this committee effective August 21, 2015.

3.03 REPORTS BY STAFF AND/OR LIAISON REPRESENTATIVES.

Joyce Haldeman stated that at the last BOC meeting there had been discussion on the need for public meetings to establish the goals for the upcoming 2015 Capital Improvement Plan and stated there have been eight public input meetings identified that will be taking place August 26, 2015, through September 10, 2015. Ms. Haldeman provided the members with a flyer stating that one side of the flyer was in English and the other side was in Spanish. She also stated that the flyer indicates the dates, times, and locations of where the meetings are taking place and also identifies the Trustee for that District area.

3.04 QUESTIONS REGARDING MONTHLY STATUS REPORTS. None

3.05 REPORT BY PRINCIPAL OF PREPARATORY INSTITUTE, SCHOOL FOR ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE AT CHARLES I. WEST HALL (WEST PREP).

Mr. Halsey introduced the Principal from West Prep, Danny Eichelberger. Mr. Eichelberger began by discussing the history of how West Prep was designed in 1995 as a middle school and was later built out with an elementary component and a high school component. He stated that by doing that, it created a lot of movement. One of the existing pods from the middle school was turned in to an academy that turned out a final product of very successful students at the high school level. Mr. Eichelberger stated that out of 33 standing structures, 14 have been moved out of the campus.

Mr. Eichelberger stated that there are 100 students per grade level – 9th, 10th, 11th, and 12th. He said that the school received \$2.1M funding for *victory funding*, which is used to increase student initiative and student achievement. He said the school has a system of elementary, middle, and high school students going to school together and walking home together. He said that the elementary school is built for a student population of 500 students, but due to class-size reduction, the student count is at 414 students.

Mr. Eichelberger stated that he believes that the plan he has seen for West Prep has absolutely hit the mark; he feels that this school is the right thing for the kids and the community. He wanted to make sure the design also included a community building, and a library, and space to service the same amount of students.

Mr. Eichelberger closed by stating that West Prep is a great elementary school. He said he knows it is going to be a beautiful and a state-of-the-art school. He thanked the Bond Oversight Committee and District staff for all their efforts to have such a great school.

3.06 UPDATE ON THE 2015 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN PROJECTS, ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION METHOD OF DELIVERY.

Mr. Cumbers presented an updated slide presentation on the 2015 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) projects, architectural design and construction method of delivery. He stated this presentation would update the members on the status of the first six new schools and two replacement schools. The presentation consisted of the following:

3.06 UPDATE ON THE 2015 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN PROJECTS, ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION METHOD OF DELIVERY. (continued)

➤ *2015 CIP Chronology*

- ✓ March 6 – Governor Sandoval signs SB119 into law;
- ✓ March 9 – Conceptual studies were begun for twelve new and two replacement schools;
- ✓ March 26 – BOST approves moving forward with twelve new and two replacement schools, pending passage of AB394;
- ✓ May 21 – BOC recommends moving forward with twelve new and two replacement schools;
- ✓ June 18 – BOC recommends increasing elementary school education specifications space allocation from 43 to 53 teaching stations for new and replacement elementary schools;
- ✓ June 29 – BOST gives final approval to proceed with twelve new and two replacement schools;
- ✓ June 29 – BOST approves increasing elementary school education specifications space allocation from 43 to 53 teaching stations for new and replacement elementary schools;
- ✓ July 16 – BOST approves architectural design services for Rex Bell and Lincoln Elementary Schools;
- ✓ August 13 – BOST approves architectural design services for unnamed schools at Arville and Mesa Verde, Chartan and Pioneer, and Galleria and Dave Wood properties;
- ✓ August 19 – Advertising for Construction Manager At-Risk (CMAR) for three new and two replacement school projects.

➤ *Approved 2015 CIP Projects*

2017 Delivery

- ✓ Arville & Mesa Verde
- ✓ Chartan & Pioneer
- ✓ Galleria & Dave Wood
- ✓ Antelope Ridge
- ✓ Lamb & Kell
- ✓ Maule & Grand Canyon
- ✓ Rex Bell ES
- ✓ Lincoln ES

2018 Delivery

- Dean Martin & 1-15
- Ford & Riley
- Beltrada & Via Italia
- Chapata & Casady
- Farm & Jensen
- Spencer & Pyle

➤ *2015 CIP Projects – 2017 Delivery*

- ✓ Two each of Wallin, Stuckey, and Duncan Prototypes
- ✓ First three – CMAR projects
 - Re-site of prototypes
 - Redesign incorporating various improvements suggested by staff, principals, and Maintenance Dept.
 - Energy-saving enhancements
 - Compliance with 2012 Building Code
 - Expansion from 43 to 53 classrooms
- ✓ Second three – Design-Bid-Build (D-B-B)
 - Re-site only, using designs from above
- ✓ Lincoln and Bell are custom designs – CMAR

3.06 UPDATE ON THE 2015 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN PROJECTS, ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION METHOD OF DELIVERY. (continued)

➤ 2015 CIP Projects – 2017 Delivery

<i>Project</i>	<i>Prototype</i>	<i>Architect</i>	<i>Method of Delivery</i>	<i>Contractor</i>
Arville & Mesa Verde	Duncan	SH Architecture	CMAR	TBD
Chartan & Pioneer	Stuckey	Tate Snyder Kimsey	CMAR	TBD
Galleria & Dave Wood	Wallin	Pugsley Simpson Coulter	CMAR	TBD
Antelope Ridge	Wallin	Pugsley Simpson Coulter	D-B-B	TBD
Lamb & Kell	Stuckey	Tate Snyder Kimsey	D-B-B	TBD
Maule & Grand Canyon	Duncan	SH Architecture	D-B-B	TBD
Rex Bell ES	Custom	Tate Snyder Kimsey	CMAR	TBD
Lincoln ES	Custom	Tate Snyder Kimsey	CMAR	TBD

Mr. Cumbers discussed in detail the slide presentation addressing the 2015 CIP projects and their current status, the locations for each of the prototypes being used, the type of delivery method for each site, and the architect selected to design each school. He also explained the difference between the CMAR designs and the D-B-B designs and how the cost would likely be less using the D-B-B design

Ms. Lavelle asked Mr. Cumbers why use the CMAR method on some of the sites by the same architect and the D-B-B design on others. Mr. Cumbers responded that at the CMAR method, the contractor will be working with the architect for a few months more at the start of the project due to the process. The second site will already be designed, therefore, costing less for the D-B-B delivery method.

Mr. Kubat addressed the fact that Tate Snyder Kimsey (TSK) is the architect of record for four projects and asked why they are doing both custom designs and two other projects and why these were not spread to other firms on the approved architect list. Mr. Cumbers explained that Domingo Cambeiro Professional Corporation was the original architect of record that owned the original design and sold the rights to TSK. Mr. Kubat cautioned that we need to get into a system to where there is a broad participation by our architectural community as well, and suggested we need to move on with creating a new architect list for future projects.

Mr. Lazarroff had questions but could not be heard clearly since there was no microphone being used. He asked if the projects were being controlled by the architect or the contractor, and was it CCSD requesting the architect/engineer be in the first position or the general contractor. Mr. Cumbers responded that the project will be controlled by the project manager and a construction manager will work directly with the architect.

Mr. Tate asked about the type of energy-saving methods being used for these projects. Mr. Cumbers responded the HVAC units being installed have numerous features and improvements. Building code changes require better energy efficiency. There are changes to the location installation which has normally been on the roof and/or in very difficult places to do repairs.

3.06 UPDATE ON THE 2015 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN PROJECTS, ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION METHOD OF DELIVERY. (continued)

These new units are made to fit in a closet or in a classroom capable of being moved and/or replaced in a moment's notice for no down time to the students.

Ms. Lavelle commented on the two delivery systems, CMAR and B-B-B, and would like to be kept informed via reports on an on-going basis as these schools are being constructed, on how CMAR is doing in each case and how it is benefiting that particular project.

3.07 REPORT BY THE CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES LIAISON.

Trustee Young spoke about a wonderful start of the administrative team at the Smith Center. She also discussed the Summer Graduation Program at the Orleans with 585 students graduating from all the various schools.

Trustee Young spoke about teacher shortages. She stated there is still a shortage of 700 teachers. She said one of the things the Board is focused on is trying to get the five means of having a great classroom. She spoke about the following five important means:

1. High-Quality Teachers – It is very important to everything that takes place in a classroom
2. Classroom Curriculum – She said the District works with the Nevada Contents Standards
3. Instruction – How to deliver that content is very important
4. Personalizing the Classroom – Making the students know that they are important
5. Family/Community Engagement – Engaging the families and communities makes for a higher quality of education

Trustee Young spoke about the universities and colleges around the nation and said very few students are going into the educational field for teaching degrees because the salary for a teacher is so low – it is very hard to make a good living as a teacher.

Trustee Young addressed the teacher freeze topic and said this year started out with a \$67M budget deficit. She stated there was “categorical money” meaning money that is used for specific categories or programs such as Victory Schools and Zoom Schools. She said there was a \$15 per pupil deficit this year also. Trustee Young stated that the District is still working on finding out what can be done to hire more teachers, administrators, support staff, and school police. She said that next year will be better in terms of basic support per pupil. She said she would keep everyone informed.

Trustee Young closed by stating there are 29 Zoom Schools (English Language Lerner Programs), one-half-day pre-kindergarten programs, free summer school programs, and 22 Victory Schools. She continued by stating we are moving forward with the 2015 Capital Improvement Plan by holding public input meetings for constituents and the community. In her final comments, she stated the District is working with the governor and the legislators to let them know that we are still moving forward with the funding, particularly in our capital programs and school programs.

3.08 QUESTIONS ON AND/OR REMOVAL OF ITEMS ON MOTIONS AND TASKINGS.

Mr. Philpott made a motion to remove the first item on the Motions and Taskings, *West Prep – Principal Presentation* (page 1 of 3).

Motion: Philpott

Second: Davis

Vote: Unanimous

Mr. Kubat addressed the third and fourth items on the *Capital Improvement Program Reports* and the *RFPs and Contracts* and asked if staff still needed clarification on these items (page 1 of 3).

Mr. Cumbers responded that staff had already addressed this item. He stated he wanted to be responsible and comply if something else was needed. Ms. Lavelle responded that she still needed to go over the last few meeting Minutes and requested this item still remain on this list for one more meeting.

Mr. Kubat addressed the *RFPs and Contracts* and stated that for clarification, he wanted to see our contracts reflect to the extent legally reasonable and possible in this state, some of those things listed on this item and add to the contracts language on what makes the company “responsive and responsible bidder” to Nevada families and businesses.

Mr. Cumbers asked if it would be helpful to provide an example to the agreement, and Mr. Kubat responded that it would be a start.

Mr. McIntosh stated that there was much discussion surrounding the definition of “responsive and responsible bidder.” What District staff needs to know is exactly what this committee is requesting so that staff can provide a better answer.

Ms. Lavelle responded that she recalls back when it was first addressed that this group was trying to guess the definition of what a “responsive and responsible bidder” is. She stated that it was agreed that what this committee needs is to have is the District’s Legal Counsel define it.

Mr. Tate added that the Clark County School District has a prequalification list and asked if it is being revised.

Mr. Halsey asked Mr. Cumbers if he received the clarification that he needed and Mr. Cumbers responded that he would have the legal department address this committee at a future date.

Debbie Earl addressed the *Non-traditional Solutions* item and she decided to leave it on the list for further updates.

3.09 AGENDA PLANNING: ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS.

Mr. Kubat stated that he would like to have an agenda item to have the District’s legal department define the Nevada Revised Statute’s definition on “responsive and responsible bidder.”

3.09 AGENDA PLANNING: ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS. (continued)

Mr. Cumbers stated that he would meet with Mr. Carlos McDade from the Legal Department and share with him the discussion and the Minutes of today's meeting and would request his presence at the next BOC meeting so that he can address the concerns facing this committee and possibly make changes to the RFPs and contracts that are satisfactory to this committee.

4.01 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD. None

5. ADJOURN: 12:52 p.m.

Motion: Haldeman

Second: Kubat

Vote: Unanimous